UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/618,152	07/11/2003	Pierluigi Pugliese	33	4146		
34871	7590 05/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER .		
	STEMS INC.	NGUYEN, K	NGUYEN, KHAI MINH			
	NELL DRIVE ' HEIGHTS, NJ 07922-274'	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2687			
		DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/618,152		PUGLIESE, PIERLUIGI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Khai M Nguy	en	2687				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				ldress			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ply within the statutor d will apply and will ex te, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days orire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timelthe mailing date of this of the considered timelthe mailing date of the constant of the c	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	July 2003.						
• =	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	· -							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio	ın.			•			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers			•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:								
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer			on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer			· 🗆	(DTO 410)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	,-,) Notice of Informal F) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/618,152 Page 2

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cronin (U.S.Pub-20030100336).

Regarding claim 1, Cronin teaches a method of reminding for a certain call which should be established (abstract, paragraph 0002, each event such as a meeting or an appointment may have associated with it multiple attributes such a name, textual information, image and sound files, priority levels, status of acceptance by attendees, among many others), comprising the step of activating a reminder functionality for indicating in advance at a mobile phone (fig.1, paragraph 0006, 0012-0014), from which said call should be established (paragraph 0012), that said call should be established

Art Unit: 2687

(paragraph 0012, in order for such a device to detect that such an event has occurred, it will in some embodiments compare times stored on the schedule with the clock time read from a software accessible clock).

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the step of activating is based on a programmable time and/or is automatically activated in regularly time intervals (paragraph 0004, 0006).

Regarding claim 3, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the step of indicating comprises the indication of the time at which said call should be established (paragraph 0012), and are of the subscriber number and the subscriber name to which said call should be established (paragraph 0012, *in order for such a device to detect that such an event has occurred, it will in some embodiments compare times stored on the schedule with the clock time read from a software accessible clock*).

Regarding claim 4, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the step of indication is performed in at least one of an optic and/or acoustic way and/or by means of vibrations (paragraph 0002, 0004).

Art Unit: 2687

Regarding claim 5, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, further characterized in that the call reminder is activated by a remote device designed to communicate with said phone at least a moment prior to or at the time at which said call should be established, especially by means of E-mail and/or SMS (fig.1, paragraph 0002, 0004, 0006).

Page 4

Regarding claim 6, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, further characterized in that at least the last time according to which it was tried to carry out a certain call is indicated (fig.1, paragraph 0002, 0004, 0006).

Regarding claim 8, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the phone (1) is switched on in response to an activation of said call reminding functionality (paragraph 0016).

Regarding claim 9, Cronin teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the step of establishing said certain call is automatically activated in response to at least one of the step of indicating confirmation by the user (paragraph 0006, 0019).

Regarding claim 10, Cronin teaches a system (fig.1), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/618,152

Art Unit: 2687

means for establishing a call (fig.1, paragraph 0002-0004), and

means for reminding a user to establish the call (fig.1, paragraph 0002-0004, 0019-0020).

Regarding claim 11, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10, wherein the means for reminding is programmable (paragraph 0012), and adapted to automatically activate the means for indication in regular time intervals (paragraph 0004, 0006).

Regarding claim 12, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10, characterized by a storage associated to the means for reminding (paragraph 0002, 0009), wherein the storage is designed for storing at least one of a reminding time and at least one subscriber number to which a call has to be generated at a determinable time (paragraph 0002, 0009, 0022).

Regarding claim 14, Cronin teaches a system of any of claim 10, wherein the means for establishing a call is incorporated within a mobile phone (fig.1, paragraph 0006, 0012), and the means for reminding at least is partially incorporated within a further electronic device (fig.1, paragraph 0006, 0009), adapted to communicate with the mobile phone, especially, via E-Mail and/or SMS (paragraph 0002-0004).

Regarding claim 15, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10, wherein the means for indication adapted to indicate at least one of the time at which said call should be established (paragraph 0012), the subscriber number and the subscriber name to which said call should be established (paragraph 0012, *in order for such a device to detect that such an event has occurred, it will in some embodiments compare times stored on the schedule with the clock time read from a software accessible clock*).

Regarding claim 16, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10, wherein the means for indication reminding comprises a display, an acoustic indication means designed for signalling a specific tone or melody or voice output and an indication means adapted to generate a vibration of the phone means (paragraph 0002, 0004).

Regarding claim 18, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10, wherein the means for reminding is switching on the means for establishing in case the means for establishing is switched off during a reminding condition (paragraph 0006, 0016-0017).

Regarding claim 19, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10, adapted to indicate at least the last time according to which a certain call was tried to be established (paragraph 0002, 0004, 0006).

Regarding claim 20, Cronin teaches a system of claims 10, wherein the means for reminding is designed to remind in regularly time intervals in response to a call which could not be established (paragraph 0004, 0006).

Regarding claim 21, Cronin teaches a system of any of claims 10, wherein the phone means for establishing a call is automatically activated by the reminding means (paragraph 0006, 0009, 0012).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **13** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cronin (U.S.Pub-20030100336) in view of Alexander Elliot et al. (U.S.Pub-20050036588).

Regarding claim 13, Cronin teaches a system of any of claim 10,

Cronin fails to specifically disclose the system is incorporated within a mobile phone adapted to operate on at least one of a GSM-standard and/or a UMTS-standard.

However, Alexander teaches the system is incorporated within a mobile phone adapted to operate on at least one of a GSM-standard and/or a UMTS-standard (paragraph 0036-0037). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the system is incorporated within a mobile phone adapted to operate on at least one of a GSM-standard and/or a UMTS-standard as taught by Alexander with Cronin teaching in order to be made aware in real time of an alarm and take preventive action.

Claims **7**, **17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cronin (U.S.Pub-20030100336) in view of Subramanian et al. (U.S.Pat-6456842).

Regarding claim 7, Cornin teaches a method of 1,

Cronin fails to specifically discloses an characterized by requesting the user in response to an unsuccessful call attempt, in particular be means of an interactive menu procedure, if said call should be established after a certain time again. However, Subramanian teaches an characterized by requesting the user in response to an unsuccessful call attempt (col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 9), in particular be means of an interactive menu procedure, if said call should be established after a certain time again (abstract, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an characterized by requesting the user in response to an unsuccessful call attempt, in particular be means of an interactive menu procedure, if said call should be established after a certain time

again as taught by Subramanian with Cronin teaching in order to providing a call back on busy feature to mobile subscribers.

Regarding claim 17, Cronin teaches a system of claim 10,

Cronin fails to specifically discloses the reminding means is adapted to request the user in response to a failed call attempt, if the said call should be established after a certain time again. However, Subramanian teaches the reminding means is adapted to request the user in response to a failed call attempt (col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 9), if the said call should be established after a certain time again (abstract, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the reminding means is adapted to request the user in response to a failed call attempt, if the said call should be established after a certain time again as taught by Subramanian with Cronin teaching in order to providing a call back on busy feature to mobile subscribers.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

White et al. (U.S.Pat-5239575) discloses Telephone dial-inbound data acquisition system with demand reading capability.

Art Unit: 2687

Beith et al. (U.S.Pat-6321098) discloses System and method for the recording and processing of information in a wireless communication device.

Lidor (U.S.Pat-5373488) discloses telephone alarm.

Daurensan (U.S.Pub-20020160819) discloses Method and a device for signaling a call or message to its addressee.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khai M Nguyen whose telephone number is 571.272.7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571.272.7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khai Nguyen

BLISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO
PATENT EXAMINER